

ON THE ORIGIN OF THE BV OPERATOR ON ODD SYMPLECTIC SUPERMANIFOLDS

PAVOL ŠEVERA

The invariant meaning of the Batalin-Vilkoviski operator was discovered by H. Khudaverdian [1]. He noticed that if x^i, p_i are local Darboux coordinates on a supermanifold M with an odd symplectic structure, the operator

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^i \partial p_i},$$

acting on *semidensities* on M , is independent of the choice of coordinates. The aim of this note is to find a natural definition of this somewhat miraculous operator.

Two differentials on odd symplectic supermanifolds. In what follows, let M be a supermanifold with an odd symplectic form ω . On $\Omega(M)$ we have two anticommuting differentials: one is de Rham's d and the other is the wedge product with ω . We shall find that the Khudaverdian BV operator is (roughly speaking) the 3rd differential of the spectral sequence of this bicomplex.

Theorem. *Let (M, ω) be an odd symplectic supermanifold. In the spectral sequence of the bicomplex $(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge, d)$ we have:*

- (1) *the cohomology of the complex $(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge)$ is naturally isomorphic to the semidensities on M*
- (2) *the next differential in the spectral sequence, de Rham's d , vanishes on the cohomology of $(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge)$*
- (3) *the next differential $(d \circ (\omega \wedge)^{-1} \circ d)$ coincides with the BV operator*
- (4) *all higher differentials are zero.*

The proof of this theorem is completely straightforward; we shall do it leisurely in the rest of this note.

Cohomology of $\omega \wedge$. It is fairly simple to describe the cohomology of the complex $(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge)$ in local Darboux coordinates x^i, p_i ($i = 1, \dots, n, \omega = dp_i \wedge dx^i$), where x^i are the even coordinates and p_i the odd coordinates. Let $U \subset M$ be the open subset covered by the coordinates. Then any cohomology class of $(\Omega(U), \omega \wedge)$ has unique representative of the form

$$(1) \quad f(x, p) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n.$$

In other words, using the coordinates, we can locally identify $H(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge)$ with functions on M (this identification *does* depend on the choice of coordinates).

To prove this claim we split $(\Omega(U), \omega \wedge)$ into subcomplexes: we assign an auxiliary degree 1 to each dx and -1 to each dp , and denote this degree *auxdeg* (x 's and p 's will have *auxdeg* = 0); the subspaces of $\Omega(U)$ of fixed *auxdeg* are clearly subcomplexes. We shall see that each of them has zero cohomology, except for the one with degree n , where the differential vanishes. We shall prove it using an explicit homotopy. Let us consider the operator $L : \Omega(U) \rightarrow \Omega(U)$ given by

$$L : \alpha \mapsto \partial_{x^i} \lrcorner \partial_{p_i} \lrcorner \alpha.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$L \circ (\omega \wedge) + (\omega \wedge) \circ L : \alpha \mapsto (n - \text{auxdeg } \alpha) \alpha.$$

This concludes the proof.

Now we also see that d is 0 on $H(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge)$, since

$$d(f(x, p) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_k} dp_k \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n,$$

which is $\omega \wedge$ -exact (having $\text{auxdeg} = n - 1$).

The third differential. Let us now compute the 3rd differential $d \circ (\omega \wedge)^{-1} \circ d$ in local Darboux coordinates. We have

$$d(f(x, p) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n) = \omega \wedge \alpha,$$

where

$$\alpha = L(d(f(x, p) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_k} \partial_{x^k} \lrcorner dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

and $d\alpha$ is (up to a $\omega \wedge$ -exact term)

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^k \partial p_k} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

The third differential in the spectral sequence is thus equal to the Batalin-Vilkoviski operator

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial p_k}.$$

Now if M is contractible and admits global Darboux coordinates, the cohomology of Δ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ (since Δ can be identified with de Rham's d on a contractible subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$), and any cohomology class has a representative in $\Omega(M)$ which is a constant multiple of $dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$. This representative is d -closed and thus is annuled by all higher differentials in the spectral sequence. Since any M can be covered by such patches, these higher differentials vanish for any M . This concludes the proof of the theorem, except for the part (1).

Semidensities. Now we'll prove the part (1) of the theorem. We locally identified the cohomology of $H(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge)$ with functions on M by choosing the representant (1); it is fairly easy to see that when we pass to another system of local Darboux coordinates, the function f gets multiplied by the square root of the corresponding Berezinian. We shall however give a different proof, using Manin's cohomological definition of Berezinian [2]. The claim we are proving here, together with the proof, is taken from [3].

Let us recall Manin's definition. Let V be a vector superspace. Let us choose a vector superspace W with an odd symplectic form $\omega \in \wedge^2 W^*$, such that V is its Lagrangian subspace (for example $W = V \oplus \Pi V^*$). Then $\text{Ber}(V^*)$ (the 1-dimensional vector space of constant densities on V) is defined as the cohomology $H(\wedge W^*, \omega \wedge)$ (this definition is easily seen to be independent of the choice of W).

If now V' is a Lagrangian complement of V in W , then again $\text{Ber}(V'^*) = H(\wedge W^*, \omega \wedge)$; on the other hand,

$$\text{Ber}(W^*) = \text{Ber}(V^*) \otimes \text{Ber}(V'^*) = H(\wedge W^*, \omega \wedge)^{\otimes 2},$$

and thus $H(\wedge W^*, \omega \wedge) = \text{Ber}(W^*)^{1/2}$ (we should write everywhere “naturally isomorphic” instead of “equal”, but hopefully it's not a big crime). This identity is valid for any vector superspace with an odd symplectic form. We apply it to the bundle of symplectic vector spaces TM , which concludes the proof.

Final remarks. 1. We should say a few remarks about the spectral sequence of the bicomplex $(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge, d)$, since $\Omega(M)$ is *not* bigraded. The spectral sequence is constructed in this way: we take $\Omega(M)[\hbar]$ (differential forms on M depending polynomially on an indeterminate $\hbar$; we could just as well take $\Omega(M)[[\hbar]]$), with the differential $\hbar d + \omega \wedge$. Then multiplication by $\hbar$ is an endomorphism of the complex $(\Omega(M)[\hbar], \hbar d + \omega \wedge)$, and our spectral sequence is the Bochner spectral sequence of this endomorphism. That is, we start with the short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow (\Omega(M)[\hbar], \hbar d + \omega \wedge) \xrightarrow{\hbar} (\Omega(M)[\hbar], \hbar d + \omega \wedge) \rightarrow (\Omega(M), \omega \wedge) \rightarrow 0,$$

out of which we get the exact couple

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\Omega(M)[\hbar], \hbar d + \omega \wedge) & \xrightarrow{(\hbar \cdot)_*} & H(\Omega(M)[\hbar], \hbar d + \omega \wedge) \\ & \nwarrow & \swarrow \\ & H(\Omega(M), \omega \wedge) & \end{array}$$

which generates the spectral sequence. If we denote E_∞ its ultimate term, we have

$$H(\Omega(M)[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}], \hbar d + \omega \wedge) \cong E_\infty[\hbar, \hbar^{-1}]$$

(and also $H(\Omega(M)[[\hbar]][\hbar^{-1}], \hbar d + \omega \wedge) \cong E_\infty[[\hbar]][\hbar^{-1}]$).

2. The odd symplectic form ω on M gives us an isomorphism between $\Omega(M)$ and $\Gamma(STM)$, i.e. the space of polynomial functions on T^*M . This isomorphism transfers $\omega \wedge$ to multiplication by the odd Poisson structure π corresponding to ω (recall that since π is an odd Poisson structure, it is a function on T^*M), and d to $\{\pi, \cdot\}$ (where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket on T^*M); the differential $\hbar d + \omega \wedge$ becomes $\pi + \hbar\{\pi, \cdot\}$. This suggests some generalizations, e.g. we can take an odd Poisson structure which is not symplectic, or more generally, instead of T^*M we can take an arbitrary supermanifold with an even symplectic form, and consider on it an odd function π such that $\{\pi, \pi\} = 0$. The spectral sequences would still be defined, but it is not clear to me if they are good for anything.

3. The result in this note is extremely simple; it is written with the hope that it might be helpful in situations where BV-like operators are much less trivial.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Khudaverdian, *Semidensities on odd symplectic supermanifolds*, math.DG/0012256.
- [2] Yu. Manin, *Gauge fields and complex geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [3] P. Ševera, *Noncommutative differential forms and quantization of the odd symplectic category*, Lett.Math.Phys. 68(2004).

DEPT. OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS, MLYNSKÁ DOLINA F2, 84248 BRATISLAVA, SLOVAKIA
E-mail address: severa@sophia.dtp.fmph.uniba.sk